

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/898,168	07/03/2001	John I. Okimoto	018926-006800US	9604
20350	7590 01/12/2005		EXAM	INER
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER			ZAND, KAMBIZ	
EIGHTH FLC			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN FRANC	ISCO, CA 94111-383	4	2132	

DATE MAILED: 01/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	•	
	09/898,168	OKIMOTO ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Kambiz Zand	2132		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion is period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may reply within the statutory minimum of t iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) M tute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03	3 July 2001.			
, _ ·	his action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal ma	atters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		•		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the applicati	on.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.	•	-	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 July 2001</u> is/are:		ected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	,	•		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	ection is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of:	ign priority under 35 U.S.C	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	,	
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received			
		Application No.		
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 				
application from the International Bure	•	Trooprod III and Manorial Glage		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a l	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ot received.		
•	•			
			•	
\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\			•	
Attachment(s)	A) 🗖 Intoniou	(Summany (PTO-412)	•	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper N	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date	٠	
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Paper N	o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•	

Application/Control Number: 09/898,168 Page 2

Art Unit: 2132

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-24 have been examined.

Information Disclosure Statement PTO-1449

2. The Information Disclosure Statement submitted by applicant on 06/09/2003 and 10/10/2003 have been considered. Please see attached PTO-1449.

Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Please update cross-references to related applications information (page 1, lines 5-27 of the specification).

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclose or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention

Application/Control Number: 09/898,168

Art Unit: 2132

was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over in Candelore (6,363,149 B1) in view of Hoffman et al (5,613,012 A).

As per claims 1, 3, 4, 7, 8, 10-14, 17 and 24 Candelore (6,363,149 B1) teach in a cable system, an encryption renewal system for generating one or more entitlement control messages, the messages containing cryptographic keys for allowing a subscriber set-top box to decrypt content encrypted off-line, the entitlement control message being forwarded with the content to the subscriber terminal, the encryption renewal system comprising: a first computing platform for receiving a request to generate the entitlement control messages, the first computing platform performing nonsecure tasks associated with the entitlement control messages; a second computing for generating the entitlement control messages, the second computing platform performing secure tasks associated with the entitlement control messages; and the first computing platform forwarding the entitlement control messages to enable the subscriber set-top box to de-crypt the pre-encrypted content (see abstract; fig.1-3 and associated text; col.10, lines 33-67; col.11 and col.12, lines 1-14); transmitting one or more keys from the first platform to a subscriber as recited in claim 3, 13 and 17 and all limitation set forth in the claims (see fig.1-7b and associated text) and but do not explicitly disclose physical separation of the first platform with the second platform; and one or more firewalls between the first, second or third computing platforms for enhancing security of the encryption renewal system. However Hoffman et al (5,613,012 A) disclose physical

Application/Control Number: 09/898,168

Art Unit: 2132

separation of the first platform with the second platform or third; and one or more firewalls between the first and the second computing platforms for enhancing security of the encryption renewal system (see fig.1 and associated text; col.14, lines 20-27; col.18, lines 6-53; col.24, lines 65-67; col.25, lines 1-8). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize Hoffman's firewall security system between two physically located platform in a network environment in order to protect the system from electronic eavesdropping and electronic intrusion and viruses during content transmission or distribution.

As per claim 2 Candelore (6,363,149 B1) teach the system of claim 1 wherein the second computing platform further comprises an application specific integrated circuit chip for generating the entitlement control messages (see col.6, lines 21-51; fig.2).

As per claims 5, 18 Candelore (6,363,149 B1) teach the system of claim 3 further comprising a database for storing the keys to be included in the control messages (see col.6, lines 43-46; col.10, lines 22-24).

As per claim 6 Candelore (6,363,149 B1) teach the system of claim 3 wherein the key is a group or periodical key from a conditional access system for controlling a population of set-top boxes (see col.10, lines 43-67).

As per claim 9 Candelore (6,363,149 B1) teach all limitation of the system of claim 3

Art Unit: 2132

but do not explicitly disclose a web server accepting requests from the first computing platform to generate the control messages. However Hoffman (5,613,012 A) disclose a web server accepting requests from the first computing platform to generate the control messages (see fig.1 and associated text). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize Hoffman's firewall security system between two physically located platform in a network environment using a web server in order to protect the system from electronic eavesdropping and electronic intrusion and viruses during content transmission or distribution.

As per claim 15 Candelore (6,363,149 B1) teach the system of claim 14 wherein the application specific integrated circuit re-encrypts the one or more cryptographic keys for external storage (as applied to claim 1 and 5 above).

As per claim 16 Candelore (6,363,149 B1) teach the system of claim 13 wherein the means for storing stores information about which video on demand system associated with the conditional access system (see fig.9A-D and 7B).

As per claim 19 Candelore (6,363,149 B1) teach the method of claim 17 wherein the data contains both cryptographic keys and one or more operating parameters for the off-line encryption device (see col.7, lines 36-47).

As per claim 20 Candelore (6,363,149 B1) teach the method of claim 19 wherein the

Application/Control Number: 09/898,168

Art Unit: 2132

operating parameter is a maximum number of encryption sessions allocated to the offline encryption device (see col.7, lines 36-47 as applied to claim 17).

As per claim 21 Candelore (6,363,149 B1) teach the method of claim 17 where the step of generating further comprises determining the operating parameters of the off-line encryption device (see col.7, lines 36-47 and as applied to claim 17).

As per claim 22 Candelore (6,363,149 B1) teach the method of claim 18 wherein the storing one or more cryptographic keys further comprises, storing the one or more cryptographic keys in the off-line encryption device; and storing the one or more cryptographic keys in the encryption renewal system (see col.7, lines 36-47 and as applied to claim 17).

As per claim 23 Candelore (6,363,149 B1) teach the method of claim 19 further wherein the one or more cryptographic keys include any one or more of a secret shared key, a private key, and a public key (see col.10, lines 30-34).

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Art Unit: 2132

U.S.Patent No. US (5,627,982A) teach data security scheme for point-to-point communication session.

U.S.Patent No. US (6,771,657 B1) teach non real-time delivery of MPEG-2 programs via an MPEG-2 transport stream.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kambiz Zand whose telephone number is (571) 272-3811. The examiner can normally reached on Monday-Thursday (8:00-5:00). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron can be reached on (571) 272-3799. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned as (703) 872-9306. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kambiz Zand

01/06/05 AV ZI 32